

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
OXFORD DIVISION**

**JERMAINE CRUMP**

**PETITIONER**

**v.**

**No. 3:18CV214-SA-RP**

**JOE ERRINGTON, ET AL.**

**RESPONDENTS**

**CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY**

The court has entered a final judgment in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a state court under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and the court, considering the record in the case and the requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), finds that **a certificate of appealability should not issue.**

For the reasons stated in its opinion, the court finds that the Petitioner has failed to “demonstrate that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court could resolve issues in a different manner; or that the questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.” *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4, 103 S.Ct. 3383, 3394 n.4, 77 L.Ed.2d 1090 (1993) (superseded by statute) (citations and quotations omitted); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) (1) and (2). Specifically, the court finds, for the reasons set forth in its memorandum opinion and final judgment, that the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be denied.

**SO ORDERED**, this, the 1st day of March, 2022.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Sharion Aycock  
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE